Foreword

The Code of Practice on Transport Infrastructure Assets has been updated and has been retitled the Code of Practice on the Highways Network Asset (the Highways Code).

CIPFA/LASAAC's decision to require local authorities to value their Highways Network Asset in accordance with the Highways Code from 2016/17 will lead to some of the biggest changes to local authority financial statements since the introduction of IFRS. The *Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2016/17* (the Accounting Code) provisions require local authorities to measure the Highways Network Asset as an item of property, plant and equipment, except where appropriate interpretations and, where necessary, adaptations have been included to take into account the complex nature of the Highways Network Asset, the interconnected nature of its various components, the difficulties in measuring an asset where substantial components are replaced in each reporting period and thus the information available to local authority accounts preparers.

The update to the Highways Code incorporated CIPFA/LASAAC's decisions and strengthened the links to the Accounting Code. Although there are some important presentational changes, the update to the Highways Code made no substantive changes to its underlying principles and measurement requirements.

These Guidance Notes have also been updated to reflect the changes made to the Accounting Code.

A fundamental principle of the Highways Code is that the same information should be used for asset management, financial management and financial reporting. Therefore the data used as the basis for valuation calculations, including depreciation, is likely to be held in asset management systems rather than in corporate asset registers, as is typically the case for other assets.

These Guidance Notes aim to:

- a) provide finance teams with the details of the valuation approach taken by engineers and the systems and processes involved (and how it links with the accounting requirements) in measuring the Highways Network Asset's value
- b) give engineers details of the information required by accountants.

These Guidance Notes are predominantly aimed at the 206 UK highway authorities as it is not anticipated that district councils will have a Highways Network Asset.

CIPFA would like to thank all of those who have contributed to the production of these Guidance Notes, and in particular, members of PISG and HAMFIG, who are listed in the Acknowledgements.

The Local Authority Accounting Panel (LAAP) has also published *Accounting for the Highways Network Asset* which complements this guidance. These two publications will assist local authorities to prepare for successful implementation of the new approach to accounting for the Highways Network Asset in their 2016/17 financial statements. We would welcome any feedback for future editions — contact mandy.bretherton@cipfa.org.

Ian Carruthers

Chair, CIPFA Standards

Contents

SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 BACKGROUND	1
1.2 WHO ARE THESE CODE GUIDANCE NOTES INTENDED FOR AND WHAT ARE THEIR AIMS?	2
1.3 SCOPE – WHAT IS INCLUDED IN THE HIGHWAYS NETWORK ASSET?	2
1.4 TIMESCALES AND PLANNING	4
SECTION 2: WHOLE OF GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTS	7
2.1 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND	7
2.2 MEASUREMENT OF INFRASTRUCTURE ASSETS IN THE WHOLE OF GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTS.	7
SECTION 3: LINKS TO OTHER GUIDANCE	9
3.1 HIGHWAYS ASSET MANAGEMENT GUIDANCE	9
3.2 CODE OF PRACTICE ON LOCAL AUTHORITY ACCOUNTING IN THE UNITED KINGDOM	10
SECTION 4: MATERIALITY CONSIDERATIONS	.11
4.1 THE DEFINITION OF MATERIALITY	11
4.2 USERS OF THE ACCOUNTS	11
4.3 ASSESSING MATERIALITY	12
SECTION 5: VALUATION PRINCIPLES	.13
5.1 INTRODUCTION	13
5.2 DEPRECIATED REPLACEMENT COST	13
5.3 GROSS REPLACEMENT COST	13
SECTION 6: CARRIAGEWAYS	.15
6.1 GENERAL	15
6.2 FINANCIAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS	16
6.3 CALCULATING GROSS REPLACEMENT COST AND DEPRECIATED REPLACEMENT COST FOR CARRIAGEWAYS	17
SECTION 7: FOOTWAYS AND CYCLETRACKS	.29
7.1 GENERAL	
7.2 FINANCIAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS	
7.3 CALCULATING GROSS REPLACEMENT COST AND DEPRECIATED REPLACEMENT COST	
SECTION 8: STRUCTURES	.33
8.1 GENERAL	33
8.2 FINANCIAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS	34
8.3 ASSET MANAGEMENT PLANNING	35
8.4 CALCULATING GROSS REPLACEMENT COST AND DEPRECIATED REPLACEMENT COST FOR STRUCTURES	36
8.5 CASE STUDY – HERTFORDSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL	
SECTION 9: STREET LIGHTING	
9.1 GENERAL	

	9.2	FINANCIAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS	43
	9.3	CALCULATING GROSS REPLACEMENT COST AND DEPRECIATED REPLACEMENT COST	44
	9.4	CASE STUDY – DERBYSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL	46
SECTIO	N 10: S	TREET FURNITURE	49
	10.1	GENERAL	49
	10.2	FINANCIAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS	49
	10.3	CALCULATING GROSS REPLACEMENT COST AND DEPRECIATED REPLACEMENT COST FOR STREET FURNITURE	50
	10.4	CASE STUDY – DERBYSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL	51
SECTIO	N 11: T	RAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS	59
	11.1	GENERAL	59
	11.2	FINANCIAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS	59
	11.3	CALCULATING GROSS REPLACEMENT COST AND DEPRECIATED REPLACEMENT COST	60
	11.4	CASE STUDY – DERBYSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL	61
SECTIO	N 12: L	AND	63
	12.1	GENERAL	63
	12.2	FINANCIAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS	63
	12.3	CALCULATING GROSS REPLACEMENT COST	64
SECTIO	N 13: A	CCOUNTING DISCLOSURES	65
	13.1	GENERAL	65
		GENERAL DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS	
	13.3	VALUATION AT 1 APRIL	66
		ADDITIONS	
		REVALUATION INCREASES/(DECREASES) RECOGNISED IN THE REVALUATION RESERVE	
		DISPOSALS/DERECOGNITION	
		OTHER MOVEMENTS IN COST OR VALUATION	
		AT 31 MARCH	
		ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION AND IMPAIRMENT AS AT 1 APRIL	
		DEPRECIATION CHARGE	
		DEPRECIATION WRITTEN OUT TO THE REVALUATION RESERVE	
		IMPAIRMENT LOSSES/(REVERSALS) RECOGNISED IN THE REVALUATION RESERVE	
		OTHER MOVEMENTS IN DEPRECIATION AND IMPAIRMENT	
		ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION AND IMPAIRMENT AT 31 MARCH	
		NET BOOK VALUE	
		EXAMPLE	
EDEUII		SKED QUESTIONS	
_		ISKED QUESTIONS	
RFFFRI	NCFS		87