Contents

PART 01	WHO CAN CHALLENGE	
	WHAT FOLLOWS FROM CHALLENGES	
	CONTENTS	
PART 02	THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE LOCALISM ACT	5
	THE CONTENTS OF EXPRESSIONS OF INTEREST	6
	THE TIMING OF EXPRESSIONS OF INTEREST	
	THE CONSIDERATION OF EXPRESSIONS OF INTEREST	
	THE CONSTRAINTS ON AUTHORITIES' CLIENT DISCRETION	
	ACTION BY MINISTERS	10
PART 03	THE BODIES ENTITLED TO EXPRESS INTEREST	
	THIRD SECTOR BODIES	
	PARISH COUNCILS	
	GROUPS OF EMPLOYEES	
	EXPRESSIONS OF INTEREST FROM UNINCORPORATED BODIES	
PART 04	PACKAGING	
	THE GEOGRAPHICAL AREA AND SERVICE COVERAGE OF CONTRACTS	
	CONTRACT PERIODS	
	SPECIFICATIONS, INPUTS, PROCESSES AND OUTCOMES	
PART 05	CONTRACT CONDITIONS	
	GUARANTEES, BONDS, PROFESSIONAL INDEMNITY COVER AND PUBLIC LIABILITY COVER	
	THE OFFER OF SUPPORT SERVICES, PREMISES AND OTHER ASSETS	
	TO TENDERERS	
	TUPE	
PART 06	NOTICES AND ADVERTISEMENTS	
	THE INFORMATION REQUIRED IN NOTICES IN OJEU	
	INFORMATION NOT REQUIRED IN NOTICES	19
	ADVERTISEMENTS FOR CONTRACTS FOR WHICH THE EU DIRECTIVE DOES NOT REQUIRE NOTICES	20
DADT 07	SELECTING TENDERERS	
PART 07	THE CONSTRAINTS	
	THE CRITERIA AVAILABLE WHEN THE DIRECTIVE APPLIES	
	SELECTING TENDERERS WHEN THE DIRECTIVE DOES NOT APPLY	
	REDUCING THE BURDEN ON BODIES APPLYING TO TENDER	
	THE STATUS OF IN-HOUSE TEAMS	
PART 08	EVALUATING TENDERS	
	THE QUALITY CRITERIA	
	NON-CONTRACT COSTS	

PART 09	VARIANTS	31
	WHAT THEY ARE	31
	THEIR ROLE FOLLOWING CHALLENGES	31
	DEALING WITH THEM IN TENDERER SELECTION	32
	DEALING WITH THEM IN TENDER EVALUATION	32
PART 10	THE POSITION OF IN-HOUSE TEAMS	33
	THEIR LEGAL STATUS	33
	THEIR TREATMENT BY THE EU DIRECTIVE	33
	PRICING THEIR TENDERS	33
	DRAWING UP THEIR ACCOUNTS	34
PART 11	THE PROCUREMENT WORKLOAD	37
	TAKE-UP OF THE RIGHT TO CHALLENGE	37
	WILL THE WORK BE UNUSUALLY LABOUR INTENSIVE?	37
	CONTRACTS	38
	SPECIFICATIONS	39
	TENDERER SELECTION	39
	TENDER EVALUATION	39
	FRAMEWORK AGREEMENTS	40
APPENDIX A	COST	41
	FULL COSTS	41
	TOTAL COSTS	41
	WHOLE LIFE COSTS	42
	COSTS FOR THE PURPOSE OF TENDERING	
	COSTS FOR THE PURPOSE OF TENDER EVALUATION	
	COSTS FOR THE PURPOSE OF TRADING ACCOUNTS	
	COSTS WHEN TRADING THROUGH COMPANIES	
	COSTS FOR THE PURPOSE OF SALES OF DISCRETIONARY SERVICES	45
	COSTS FOR THE PURPOSE OF RECOVERIES	45
	ANALYSING COSTS ASCERTAINED FOR ONE PURPOSE FOR SOME DIFFERENT	
	PURPOSE	
	COMPARING THE COSTS OF DIFFERENT BODIES	
	COMPARING COSTS INCURRED AT DIFFERENT TIMES	
	COMPARING ELEMENTS OF COSTS	4 /
	ANNEX A – ANTICIPATED LOSSES ON CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS WITH OTHER BODIES	48
	ANNEX B – CHECKLIST OF COSTS FOR USE IN CHECKING CLAIMS FOR REIMBURSEMENT	50
	ANNEX C – PUTTING COSTS, ESTIMATES AND FORECASTS ON A COMMON	
	PRICE BASIS	
APPENDIX B	GLOSSARY	57

The purpose of the guide

- **o1.01** The community right to challenge is a key part of the government's initiative to bring local government services in England closer to the people.
- **o1.02** This publication aims to give practitioners a plain-language guide to the working of challenge, to what difference it makes to existing law and practice in the commissioning of services, and to what the CJC believes may be the hardest questions which may arise.
- **01.03** It was drafted for the CJC by a working party comprising:
 - Bob Atkins, Chief Financial Officer, Mayor of London, Office for Policing and Crime
 - Norma Atlay, Strategic Director of Finance, Policy & Governance, North Herts District Council
 - Malcolm Dawes, Director, Malcolm Dawes Consultancy Limited
 - Richard Harbord, public finance consultant, MRF UK Ltd
 - Michael Hughes, Associate, Institute of Local Government Studies, University of Birmingham
 - David Kelly, Corporate Group Legal Services Manager, Surrey County Council
 - John Serle, change consultant
 - Bernard Wyld, editor, Scala News
 - Julian Smith, Policy Support Officer, CIPFA, secretary
 - Will Werry, consultant, chair

in consultation with Matthew West, Community Right to Challenge Team, Department for Communities and Local Government.

WHO CAN CHALLENGE

- **o1.04** Challenge is not, like freedom of information, a right available to absolutely anybody. The Localism Act gives only 'relevant bodies' the right to challenge. They exercise it by making expressions of interest in whatever services they would be interested in providing.
- **o1.05** Relevant bodies are, broadly speaking, any two or more employees of the local authority in question; and any parish council or third sector body.
- **01.06** Expressions of interest (EOIs)themselves have to pass several statutory tests before they have to be accepted. The guide therefore starts with the following parts:
 - Part 02 The requirements of the Localism Act
 - Part 03 The bodies entitled to express interest.

WHAT FOLLOWS FROM CHALLENGES

- o1.07 The general purpose of the Act is to require competition for any services for which valid EOIs are made. Challengers may then take part in the competition, though subject to the same requirements as any other service providers. There is no express statutory requirement for any particular procurement option to be taken, or avoided; on the contrary, authorities are required to conduct procurement exercises 'appropriate to the value and nature of the contracts which would be awarded'.
- **01.08** Successful challenges nevertheless have the effect of overriding many of authorities' normal client discretions as to packaging. The composition of the resulting packages often **conflict with previous packaging strategies**.
- **o1.09** Successful challenges also make some profound differences to the *circumstances* in which procurement exercises are conducted. These different circumstances may include:
 - the entry into the market of new and unfamiliar service providers, including consortia with unusual pairings of members. These new service providers are all likely to have **unfamiliar strengths and weaknesses**, which tenderer selection and tender evaluation criteria need to pick up
 - the intense **public and political interest** in the results. How well did the challengers do in competition? Some commentators may blame the failure of unsuccessful challenges on what they see as the bureaucratic and undiscriminating nature of conventional procurement exercises; other commentators may blame what they see as injudicious outsourcing on insufficiently thought-through statutory changes. In their own interests practitioners will need to adopt some novel criteria and procedures, and try to make sure that these criteria and procedures are seen to be fair and relevant.

CONTENTS

- **o1.10** Competition is a vast and constantly changing subject. This guide is however addressed solely to the special features of competition when it follows challenges. It does this in the following parts:
 - Part 04 Packaging
 - Part 05 Contract conditions
 - Part 06 Notices and advertisements
 - Part 07 Selecting tenderers
 - Part 08 Evaluating tenders
 - Part 09 Variants
 - Part 10 The position of in-house teams
 - Part 11 The procurement workload.
- **01.11** It concludes with the following appendices:
 - Appendix A Cost, which is extracted from Part 95 Cost of the CJC online *Standing Guide* to the Commissioning of Local Authority Work and Services
 - Appendix B Glossary.

- 01.12 The Localism Act does not use the terms:
 - **EOI** this abbreviation is however much-needed
 - **challenging** or **challengers**. The Act refers instead to submitting expressions of interest, and to the relevant bodies which submit them. Challenging and challengers are however unambiguous, and widely used, so this guide uses them too.
- 01.13 The guide also refers to challenges. The term is not always unambiguous because distinctions sometimes have to be made between EOIs which have not, or not yet, been accepted; and those which have. The guide therefore refers when necessary to successful challenges; this means challenges which have been accepted, not those in which challengers won the ensuing competitions.
- **01.14** The guide contains references in brackets to statutory sources and other guidance. Lists of sources can be found at the end of the parts.