

To whom it may concern,

I am writing to respond to the research exercise on charities SORP (FRS 102).

I believe that it is important for the charities SORP making-bodies to take into account the views of charity sector preparers. I know that having an effective reporting framework is in the interests of not only the public, but the charity sector itself.

So I would urge the charities SORP making-bodies to listen to organisations such as the Charity Finance Group, which have undertaken an extensive consultation with finance professionals via roundtables and an online survey.

In particular, I would urge the SORP making-bodies to:

**1. Reject the proposal for a Key Facts Summary**

There is no rationale for why this summary would improve understanding of charities, and I do not believe that there is financial information which would universally demonstrate the effectiveness of a charity. It is only likely to encourage readers not to engage with the full annual report and accounts.

**2. Reject the proposal for material donors and funders to be declared**

I am concerned that this will impact of the donor's right to privacy and could lead to fewer charities receiving charitable donations. We do have donors that specifically request that details of their grant and their name remain confidential. I also do not believe that declaring funders, such as local councils, would improve the public's understanding of the effectiveness of a charity.

**3. Abolish the separate accounting of support costs**

These add a burden on charities and do not add any value for the reader of the accounts. Support costs are necessary for all operations and separating out support costs feeds an incorrect impression that support costs are a 'bad' piece of expenditure. The SORP making-bodies need to take action.

**4. Reject calls further details on administration and fundraising costs**

Financial disclosures are not the way to improve understanding of charities operations, encouraging and supporting charities to talk about their operations and approach to fundraising through narrative reporting would be better.

**5. Reject calls for charities to break down their spending by jurisdictions**

This will not add value as most charities that work overseas will already be explaining their operations through the narrative reporting. This will add significant bureaucracy, however, and further lengthen the SORP. Thank you for considering my response to the research exercise.

Kind regards,

**Jody Sharp**  
Head of Finance

St Peter & St James Hospice & Continuing Care Centre,  
North Common Road, North Chailey, Lewes,  
East Sussex, BN8 4ED

Tel: 01444 471598  
Direct line: 01444 470204  
Fax: 01444 471088

The St Peter & St James Charitable Trust is a registered company in England and Wales.  
Registered Office: North Common Road, North Chailey, Lewes, East Sussex, BN8 4ED.  
Registered Charity Number: 1056114. Company Number: 3204919.

---

This e-mail has been scanned for all viruses by Star. The service is powered by MessageLabs. For more information on a proactive anti-virus service working around the clock, around the globe, visit:  
<http://www.star.net.uk>

---