

To whom it may concern,

I am writing to respond to the research exercise on charities SORP (FRS 102).

I believe that it is important for the charities SORP making-bodies to take into account the views of charity sector preparers. I know that having an effective reporting framework is in the interests of not only the public, but the charity sector itself.

So I would urge the charities SORP making-bodies to listen to organisations such as the Charity Finance Group, which have undertaken an extensive consultation with finance professionals via roundtables and an online survey.

In particular, I would urge the SORP making-bodies to:

**1. Reject the proposal for a Key Facts Summary**

There is no rationale for why this summary would improve understanding of charities, and I do not believe that there is financial information which would universally demonstrate the effectiveness of a charity. It is only likely to encourage readers not to engage with the full annual report and accounts.

**2. Reject the proposal for material donors and funders to be declared**

I am concerned that this will impact of the donor's right to privacy and could lead to fewer charities receiving charitable donations. I also do not believe that declaring funders, such as local councils, would improve the public's understanding of the effectiveness of a charity.

**3. Abolish the separate accounting of support costs**

These add a burden on charities and do not add any value for the reader of the accounts. Support costs are necessary for all operations and separating out support costs feeds an incorrect impression that support costs are a 'bad' piece of expenditure. The SORP making-bodies need to take action.

**4. Reject calls further details on administration and fundraising costs**

Financial disclosures are not the way to improve understanding of charities operations, encouraging and supporting charities to talk about their operations and approach to fundraising through narrative reporting would be better.

**5. Reject calls for charities to break down their spending by jurisdictions**

This will not add value as most charities that work overseas will already be explaining their operations through the narrative reporting. This will add significant bureaucracy, however, and further lengthen the SORP.

Thank you for considering my response to the research exercise.

Kind regards,

*P E Coleman*

Finance Manager

Tel: 020 7183 2356

E: [pamela.coleman@shareaction.org](mailto:pamela.coleman@shareaction.org)

W: [www.shareaction.org](http://www.shareaction.org)

ShareAction

(Fairshare Educational Foundation)

16 Crucifix Lane

London SE1 3JW

Join ShareAction on Facebook  
Join ShareAction on Twitter

Fairshare Educational Foundation is a company limited by guarantee registered in England and Wales number 05013662 and a registered charity number 1117244, VAT registration number GB 211 1469 53.

The information contained in this email and in any attachments is confidential and is designated solely for the attention and use of the intended recipient(s). This message and any attachment may be privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, please telephone or email the sender and delete this message and any attachment from your system. If you are not the intended recipient you must not use, retain, copy this message or attachment or disclose the contents to any other person.

E-mails may be intercepted, amended, corrupted, lost, destroyed, or arrive late or incomplete. They are therefore not secure and cannot be guaranteed to be error free. E-mails may also contain viruses. These risks are deemed accepted by anyone who communicates with us by e-mail. We do not accept responsibility for any loss or damage arising from the use of this e-mail or attachments.

---

This e-mail has been scanned for all viruses by Star. The service is powered by MessageLabs. For more information on a proactive anti-virus service working around the clock, around the globe, visit:  
<http://www.star.net.uk>

---